

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,385	03/17/2004	James V. Miller	P00918-US-00 (25490.0031)	3674	
22446 ICE MILLER	7590 09/25/200° LLP	7	EXAMINER		
ONE AMERICAN SQUARE, SUITE 3100			JOHNSON, BLAIR M		
INDIANAPOL	LIS, IN 46282-0200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/802,385	MILLER, JAMES V.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Blair M. Johnson	3634		

	Blair M. Johnson	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai	ing date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, in the proposed amendment (s). They raise new issues that would require further continuous (b). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). 	nsideration and/or search (see N	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered b OTE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, ,	•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		. Paral Charles	4 P M
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separat	e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 13,16,18, 28-34 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.		Blair M. Johnson	
	·	Primary Examiner	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 13. Other: the amendments to the claims do not reflect the claims filed 4/13/07 which were the subject of the final rejection of 7/13/07. In other words, the claims Applicant is attempting to amend are not the proper claims. The claims of 4/13/07 are the claims that must be amended.